with regard to that, except to say that these are clearly special needs children and that the sooner the stability could be arranged with them, the better. With respect to an order for production of the Code of Ethics governing social work at the Office of the Children's Lawyer, there is no formal Code of Ethics. The office is accountable to the Court, clearly, as well as to the Ministry of the Attorney General, and while the College of Social Workers has a Code of Ethics, not **all** social workers are mandated to belong to the College, and we have no formal code. With respect to the order for production of custody and access assessment guidelines by the office, Section 112, and I'11 get into this more in a moment, is a very special, carved out code within the Courts of Justice Act. It is not a custody and access assessment that is performed by the office. In our training, provide to our social workers and social work agents, an idea of guidelines that are provided by the <u>different</u> for social workers, colleges psychologists, psychiatrists, but we, inferred earlier, deal with each case **a11** children on an individual basis, so that the approach that we take in one case, may not be exactly the same in another, and Miss Donaldson can be examined on that issue, if she's called as a witness. With respect to the extension of time for filing the dispute of the report, we have no difficulty with a late filing. There's fifteen days currently allowed. I think the new family court rules allow for thirty days and it's not

at 107 101/018

10

15

20

25

30