

October 30, 2002.

Mr. Eric Bouchard
8639 Highway #20
RR #1
Smithville, Ont.
LOR 2AO

The Honourable Prime Minister Jean Chretien
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0S6

Dear Mr. Prime Minister

Re: Corruption, fraud, incompetence and cover-up by Superior Court of Justice, police and Children's Aid Society resulting in flagrant abuse of children and families.

On Monday, October 28, 2002, I was ordered by a family court judge in Cayuga, Ontario to return my daughter to the care of her mother despite overwhelming evidence before the family court judge which clearly showed that the mother was physically and emotionally abusive, lying, controlling, mentally unstable and had a criminal record for perpetrating domestic violence. In addition, the judge gave the police the authority to locate and apprehend my child and to remove the child from the safe, stable and loving home environment that I was providing for my child at the time.

In order to protect my child and to send a message to the family court system that I will not allow the family courts to abuse my child, myself and my family any further, I have made the choice to disobey the judge's order. I have placed my child into hiding in an environment where she will be safe, loved and protected. It is my intention to refuse to disclose to authorities where my daughter is, even if I am threatened with arrest or held in contempt of the court Order.

I am prepared to sacrifice my freedoms and to go to jail for the higher purpose of bringing as much media attention I can both domestically and internationally to my case in support of what I believe is a just cause of protecting my child and exposing the gross injustices and human rights violations being perpetrated against the children and families involved in this case. As a father, I feel that it is my duty to protect my daughter and to fight against the persecution and gross injustices being perpetrated against my child by the courts of Canada and the cowardly judges who preside over them as well as the Children's Aid Society and the police. It is truly unfortunate that I have to be made into a criminal in my own country for only wanting to protect my child from her abusive mother and from a justice system that seems to have lost touch with the hearts of minds of the Canadian people.

Up to the time of my experience with the family court system and family court lawyers, I have always considered myself to be a law abiding, peaceful and hard working Canadian who was always willing to obey this country's laws and to contribute to the economy of my country. However, after dozens of family court appearances and after seeing how the family court system

has allowed my child, myself and my family to be abused by my child's mother and by the unethical family law lawyers, I can no longer say that I am willing to respect the courts of this country. Based on my experience, our family courts deserve no respect. Our family courts are nothing but a farce and a disgrace to all Canadians. As a Canadian, I am ashamed to have to say that justice for couples in Canadian family court is nothing but a **BIG JOKE!**

I have been in court numerous times. All my money has been taken by lawyers and yet nothing has been done to protect my child from her abusive and controlling mother. In addition to my own child, there is another child from another father, who as well, has been in family court over seventy times trying to protect his daughter from the same abusive mother. He too, has been successfully taken out of his child's life by the mother with the help of the bias and incompetent family court. The other father's name is Mr. Wayne Allen and his court case was placed on the official record by the Honorable Senator Ann Cools during hearings before the Joint Senate/House of Commons Committee on Custody and Access back in 1998. The injustices perpetrated against Mr. Allen by this mother with the help of the family court were so horrendous as to warrant mention and submission to one of the highest courts in this land.

When I first met this mother and fell in love with her, she did not disclose to me her past but misled me about her abusive past, only to abuse me and my child as well once we were living together.

Some of the information brought before the court on October 28, which show that this judge's decision to order my daughter be returned to her mother was legally and morally wrong, included the following:

- 1) That the mother, Deborah Grenier, is currently on probation after being convicted of assaulting myself with a weapon. Fathers are routinely taken from their children for these types of criminal offenses, but it seems that it is OK for mothers to be criminally violent and still retain custody of the children.
- 2) During the mother's criminal trial for assault it was revealed during the court that the mother had committed perjury and lied to the court.
- 3) The mother, Deborah Grenier, is currently required to take anger management courses as part of her probation conditions from the court. Many men in similar situations are routinely barred from seeing their children without supervision until they have completed their anger management courses, yet it seems OK for abusive mothers to keep seeing their children without supervision after perpetrating domestic violence.
- 4) The mother was jailed in 1997 for denying access to the father of her first child, Mr. Wayne Allen, also from Hamilton. Ms. Grenier's picture made front page of the Toronto Sun Newspaper for going to jail for denying her child's access to her father. Now that Ms. Grenier has seen how the court would allow her to abuse her first husband and child from that relationship, she is now employing the same tactics with her second husband and child. The court has taught her that her lies, deceptions and repeated violations of court Orders pay off and that there is more to gain by disobeying a court Order than by obeying one.
- 5) The first father, Wayne Allen, appeared in court over 70 times in an attempt to have the Hamilton Court deal with access denial by the mother and the mother's abuse of his daughter. I have been to court over 25 times. Yet in spite of all these court appearances attempting to

help the children, the mother continues to abuse the children, the fathers, the court system as well as the child protection agencies with impunity.

- 6) The mother assaulted and threatened the paternal grandparents of her first child, Mr. & Ms. Allen of Hamilton, in the parking lot of the Hamilton library, in front of witnesses. The mother threw down and smashed Christmas presents intended for the child. The police did not lay charges against the mother.
- 7) The mother has assaulted her step daughter from my first marriage. My daughter who is now 11 years of age is fearful of Ms. Grenier. Authorities did nothing when my daughter was assaulted. It appears that mother's can abuse children with impunity.
- 8) In addition, reports from social workers with the CAS show:
 - a) That the mother presents herself as "aggressive"
 - b) That workers are fearful of their own safety in the presence of the mother.
 - c) That the mother has a problem cooperating with the CAS and is not cooperative.
 - d) That the mother has refused to allow the Society to appoint a parent support worker.
 - e) That the mother has refused to let workers into her home to check on the children.
 - f) That mother yelled abusively at Children's Aid workers and has threatened them by falsely alleging that they were threatening her and the children. Social workers reports show that the mother said to workers "she is threatening me" and "don't you threaten my children!"
 - g) That the mother has told CAS worker that she will take the children out of the country if her custody is threatened.
 - h) That the children should be apprehended if the children are exposed to further domestic violence.
 - i) That the mother has not be obeying court Orders.
 - j) That is September of 2001, the mother fired workers with the East Regional Mental Health and terminated help for herself and the children.
 - k) That the mother has been violent and aggressive with child and with father
 - l) That the mother was also uncooperative with the Niagara Children's Aid Society.
 - m) That there is a history of violence and aggression between the mother and her own mother and that the CAS is concerned about abuse between the mother and the maternal grandmother.
 - n) That the mother was attending psychiatric appointments but then stopped and also withdrew her consent for disclosure of reports to CAS workers.
 - o) That the children appeared to be coached by the mother to say bad things about their fathers and to help the mother alienate the children from their fathers.
 - p) That the CAS was concerned about Ms. Grenier's "chronic verbal and physical altercations" and that this was a "bit of a mess"
 - q) That the CAS was concerned about outstanding issues around domestic violence and the mother's ability to control her anger.
 - r) That the mother loses site of the best interest of the child while in conflict with the father or anyone else in authority.

I have, in good faith, gone to court in an attempt to protect my child and to get justice. It seems, however, that fairness, justice and equality does not apply in family court. It seems that the best

interest of the child in court means that abusive mothers get custody of the children while loving fathers are stripped away from their children's lives.

The apparent unwillingness of the family court, the Children's Aid Society and the police to uphold the principles of the law, to give both equal protection of the law and to protect my child as well as Mr. Allen's child, in the presence of such overwhelming evidence showing that the mother is an unfit mother, leads me to only one conclusion – that the family court and the family court judges are utterly corrupt and in contempt of the people of Canada. No father in this country would ever get away with what this abusive mother has done to the children from her two husbands. No man would ever be allowed to abuse the system such as this mother has done and get away with it. The bias against fathers by the system is so strikingly evident that it is sickening and begs correction.

This mother has been granted custody of the children even though it is clear that she has abused and assaulted children, abused and assaulted both of her husbands, abused and assaulted extended family members, lied and deceived the court and child protection workers, committed perjury, behaved in an uncooperative manner, violated court Orders with impunity and ruined the lives of many. Something is terribly, terribly, wrong with justice in this country.


The bias and corruption in our family courts is clearly rampant. It is disgusting. It is vile. It is a disgrace to this country. Our family court judges are clearly out of control with some of our judges clearly showing no appreciation or no understanding of the words fairness and justice.

As one father am going to stand up and to fight the evil that seems to have taken over our court system. I am willing to go to jail to protect my child.

At this time, I would ask for your help. You may say that rules prohibit you from interfering in a case before the court, but it is clear that the judges are willing to ignore the rules of justice so it is time for us to make protecting children the top priority, not following rules that the judges seem to abuse as long as they are to their advantage and help to make them unaccountable to the people.

Standing up for the children of Canada is everyone's responsibility. It is clear that the courts are not going to do this and they must be stopped. It is time for our elected representatives to stand up and to be counted and to stop making excuses for inaction. It time that action be taken to get these family court judges back under control before there is a complete breakdown in the administration of justice in this country.

Your response to my plea for help would be most appreciated.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eric Bouchard". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long, sweeping tail on the final letter.

Eric Bouchard

cc: All Federal Members of Parliament
All Provincial Members of Parliament
Members of the Senate of Canada
Various consulates and embassies world-wide.